



Standards-Based Individualized Education Program Examples

Marla Davis Holbrook

August 2007

Project Forum National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE) 1800 Diagonal Road - Suite 320 Alexandria, VA 22314 Project Forum at National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE) is a cooperative agreement funded by the Office of Special Education Programs of the U.S. Department of Education. The project carries out a variety of activities that provide information needed for program improvement and promote the utilization of research data and other information for improving outcomes for students with disabilities. The project also provides technical assistance and information on emerging issues and convenes small work groups to gather expert input, obtain feedback and develop conceptual frameworks related to critical topics in special education.

This report was supported by the U.S. Department of Education (Cooperative Agreement No. H326F000001). However, the opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the position of the U.S. Department of Education and no official endorsement by the Department should be inferred.

Note: There are no copyright restrictions on this document; however, please credit the source and support of federal funds when copying all or part of this material.



This document, along with many other Project Forum publications, can be downloaded from the Project Forum at NASDSE web address:

http://www.projectforum.org

To order a hard copy of this document or any other Project Forum publications, please contact NASDSE, 1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 320, Alexandria, VA 22314

Ph: 703-519-3800 ext. 326 or Email: nancy.tucker@nasdse.org

This document is available in alternative formats. For details please contact Project Forum staff at 703-519-3800

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
STEPS IN DEVELOPING STANDARDS-BASED IEPs	1
APPLICATION OF THE STEP-BY-STEP PROCESS	3
Applying Steps 1 and 2 for Anthony	4
Applying Step 3 for Anthony	5
Applying Step 4 for Anthony	5
Applying Step 5 for Anthony	6
Applying Step 6 for Anthony	6
Applying Step 7 for Anthony	7
Applying Steps 1 and 2 for Kimi	7
Applying Step 3 for Kimi	8
Applying Step 4 for Kimi	9
Applying Step 5 for Kimi	9
Applying Step 6 for Kimi	10
Applying Step 7 for Kimi	10
CONCLUDING REMARKS	11
APPENDIX	12

Standards-Based Individualized Education Program Examples

INTRODUCTION

With increasing accountability for improving the academic achievement for students with disabilities, school-based professionals have become more invested in the development and use of standards-based Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). The interest partially stems from the federal requirement of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)¹ to provide students with disabilities access to the general education curriculum. In addition, the regulations under both Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB)² and IDEA on modified academic achievement standards require that students who take an alternate assessment based on modified academic achievement standards must have access to and instruction in grade-level content. These regulations further require that these students' IEPs include goals that are based on grade-level content standards and provide for monitoring of the students' progress in achieving those goals.

A standards-based IEP is one in which the IEP team has incorporated state content standards in its development. Many professionals and family members view standards-based IEPs as a best practice to create high expectations for students with disabilities.³

This document presents a seven-step process to be used in developing a standards-based IEP. Each step is followed by guiding questions for the IEP team to consider in making data-based decisions. Two student examples are provided to illustrate application of the components of a standards-based IEP. The student examples contained in this guide provide an opportunity for educators to think about and apply the steps toward developing and implementing a standards-based IEP. One student example leads to the decision that the student should take an alternate assessment based on modified academic achievement standards while the other leads to a decision that the student should take the general assessment with accommodations. Readers may want to work in small groups to discuss their responses and to think about how the contextual characteristics of a school setting may influence the creation and implementation of a student's IEP.

STEPS IN DEVELOPING STANDARDS-BASED IEPS

Prior to developing IEPs, all IEP team members, including parents, need to be familiar with the general education curriculum, including the state's academic <u>content</u> standards and state assessments. Academic content standards form the basis of the general education curriculum and cover what students are expected to know and be able to do. In order to make informed decisions about each student's strengths and needs, the IEP team should consider how the student is

¹ To view the IDEA statute, go to http://idea.ed.gov/explore/view/p/%2Croot%2Cstatute%2C.

² To view the NCLB statute, go to http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/esea02/index.html.

³ See Project Forum's document, "Standards-Based IEPs: Implementation in Selected States" by Eileen Ahearn at www.projectforum.org

⁴ To view the companion document, "A Seven-Step Process to Creating Standards-based IEPs", which provides the process in a two-page document, go to www.projectforum.org.

performing in relation to the state's grade-level content standards for the grade in which the student is enrolled.

Each step in developing a standards-based IEP is not necessarily related to a specific section of the IEP document. Some steps will need to take place prior to developing the document and can be achieved by a dialogue among IEP team members. The following highlights the major steps IEP teams can follow to create a standards-based IEP. Questions the IEP team may ask when following the steps are then presented.

Steps to Creating a Standards-based IEP⁵

Step 1: Consider the grade-level content standards for the grade in which the student is enrolled or would be enrolled based on age.

Ask: • What is the intent of the content standard?

• What is the content standard saying that the student must know and be able to do?

Step 2: Examine classroom and student data to determine where the student is functioning in relation to the grade-level standards.

Ask: • Has the student been taught content aligned with grade-level standards?

- Has the student been provided appropriate instructional scaffolding to attain grade-level expectations?
- Were the lessons and teaching materials used to teach the student aligned with state grade-level standards?
- Was the instruction evidence-based?

Step 3: Develop the present level of academic achievement and functional performance.

Describe the individual strengths and needs of the student in relation to accessing and mastering the general curriculum.

Ask: • What do we know about the student's response to academic instruction (e.g., progress monitoring data)?

- What programs, accommodations (i.e., classroom and testing) and/or interventions have been successful with the student?
- What have we learned from previous IEPs and student data that can inform decision making?
- Are there assessment data (i.e., state, district and/or classroom) that can provide useful information for making decisions about the student's strengths and needs (e.g., patterns in the data)?

Consider the factors related to the student's disability and how they affect how the student learns and demonstrates what he or she knows.

Ask: • How does the student's disability affect participation and progress in the general curriculum?

- What supports does the student need to learn the knowledge and attain the skills to progress in the general curriculum?
- Is the student on track to achieve grade-level proficiency within the year?

⁵ These steps are adapted from the Alabama Department of Education website where information on standards-based IEPs can be found at http://www.alsde.edu/html/sections/section_detail.asp?section=65&footer=sections.

Step 4: Develop measurable annual goals aligned with grade-level academic content standards.

Ask: • What are the student's needs as identified in the present level of performance?

- Does the goal have a specific timeframe?
- What can the student reasonably be expected to accomplish in one school year?
- Are the conditions for meeting the goal addressed?
- How will the outcome of the goal be measured?

Step 5: Assess and report the student's progress throughout the year.

Ask: • How does the student demonstrate what he/she knows on classroom, district and state assessments?

- Are a variety of assessments used to measure progress?
- How will progress be reported to parents?

Step 6: Identify specially designed instruction including accommodations and/or modifications needed to access and progress in the general education curriculum.

Ask: • What accommodations are needed to enable the student to access the knowledge in the general education curriculum?

- What accommodations have been used with the student and were they effective?
- Has the complexity of the material been changed in such a way that the content has been modified?

Step 7: Determine the most appropriate assessment option.

Ask: • What types of assessments are offered in my state?

- What types of responses do different state assessments require?
- What are the administrative conditions of the assessment? (i.e., setting, delivery of instructions, time allotted, etc.)
- What accommodations are allowed on the assessment(s)?
- Are the accommodations approved for the assessment also used in the classroom?
- Has the student received standards-based, grade-level instruction?
- Was the instruction evidence based?
- What is the student's instructional level?
- How different is the student's instructional level from the level of typical peers?
- Can the student make progress toward grade-level standards in the same timeframe as typical peers? (If no, consider modified academic achievement standards)
- What can be learned from the student's previous state assessment results?
- Can the student demonstrate what he/she knows on the assessment option under consideration?

APPLICATION OF THE STEP-BY-STEP PROCESS

In this section, two students with distinct characteristics are introduced. One student's educational characteristics will prove to be appropriate for an assessment based on modified academic achievement standards and one will not. In working through these steps in developing standards-based IEPs you might want to see how they are applied to both of these student examples and then apply them to students with whom you work.

The two students, Anthony and Kimi, and the content standards and subsequent IEP goals are for illustration only. Many students will have three to four reading and/or math goals. We provide only two goals for each student to illustrate the process of developing a standards-based IEP. Anthony has goals in reading and behavior; and Kimi has goals in reading and math. The appendix contains both exemplar students' full IEPs.

Applying Steps 1 and 2 for Anthony (Consider the grade-level content standards and examine classroom and student data.)

Steps 1 and 2 constitute the initial planning for the IEP. After completing the first two steps, the IEP team will have information that can be synthesized into a description of the student. Some states include student profiles as part of the IEP document, but such descriptions are not a requirement under IDEA. It is necessary, however, for the IEP team to develop a picture of grade-level expectations and know where each student is functioning in relation to those expectations.

Anthony Grade 4

The IEP team, including Anthony's mother, spent time reviewing their state's content standards to learn specifically what Anthony was expected to know in each subject area (Step 1). The team recognized that it was important to compare what Anthony was expected to know in each content area with his present level of performance. The team reviewed the methods by which Anthony had been taught and examined whether this content was aligned with state standards. It also was important for the team to examine the methods by which data about Anthony's performance were collected to determine whether these measures were good indicators of Anthony's progress (Step 2).

Student Profile: Anthony

Anthony is a fourth-grade student who is included in general education classes with accommodations and supports. Anthony demonstrates a variety of inattentive behaviors that interfere with learning. He is easily distracted by other students, noise and other activity in and near the classroom. A review of classroom data and incident reports over the last two years indicates that Anthony is learning to ignore some distractions in his environment. His time at his desk has increased to 20 minutes after which he earns a short break. Anthony can remain on task for 15 minutes when closely monitored by an adult and reinforced at frequent intervals.

Classroom, district and state assessments indicate that Anthony is reading at a third-grade level. He is currently receiving reading instruction in an intervention curriculum and is working to improve reading with fluency and applying comprehension strategies. His low fluency has negatively affected his reading comprehension.

Anthony is working on fourth grade math standards. Progress monitoring data reveal inconsistent scores on Anthony's weekly quizzes. Teachers report that he can do the math but is easily frustrated if he makes a mistake and may crumple or tear up his paper. He often rushes through assignments and turns his paper in early without checking his answers. Anthony is pleased when he does well on a quiz and responds positively to teacher recognition, such as good day certificates.

Anthony's grandmother reports that he likes school and looks forward to going. He plays football with the YMCA and she is glad that he burns off some energy during practice.

Anthony receives accommodations for brief scheduled breaks every 20 minutes during classroom assignments and classroom and state assessments. He is accommodated by arranging for seating in an area of the classroom and assessment area that is relatively free from distractions. Anthony's on-task behavior has improved since implementing an agreed-upon signal with his teacher. The agreement states that each time Anthony needs to get out of his seat, he will signal the teacher and wait for her signal of approval before leaving his seat.

Applying Step 3 for Anthony (Develop the present level of academic achievement and functional performance.)

Anthony: Grade 4 (Reading)

A review of brief classroom assessments indicates that Anthony needs to improve reading for fluency, which will increase his reading comprehension. Anthony can read 80 words per minute of connected text with 100 % accuracy, which is within the range of words per minute established for typical peers in the second grade. Anthony is working toward automaticity by reading passages at the second grade level for which he has achieved a high level of accuracy in oral reading fluency. Determining the meaning of unknown words by reading words in context and applying word structures (prefix, base words, and suffix) are relative strengths for Anthony in the area of reading. Behaviors associated with Anthony's disability, such as limited attention span and distractibility, can detract from completing assigned tasks, focusing on the details of reading passages/assignments (reading comprehension) and remaining on task.

Anthony: Grade 4 (Behavior)

Anthony has a history of getting out of his desk/chair during class. A review of current data indicates that Anthony's out-of-seat behavior is generally precipitated by distractions in the environment, such as another student sharpening a pencil. Anthony is seated in an area of the class that is relatively free from distractions and is reinforced for ignoring distractions. He can remain in his seat for approximately 20 minutes if allowed scheduled breaks after an interval of on-task behavior. Anthony and his teacher have agreed on a signal that he gives if he requires a break prior to the scheduled intervals. Anthony's out-of-seat behavior negatively affects completed assignments and assessments.

Applying Step 4 for Anthony (Develop measurable annual goals.)

Anthony: Grade 4 (Reading)

One measurable annual goal related to meeting Anthony's needs and a corresponding content standard are:

Anthony will read 105 words of connected text per minute with 100% accuracy on classroom assessments at the end of the fourth grading period.
 (4th Grade Reading Content Standard: Students will apply the basic features of reading to achieve fluent oral reading.)

Anthony: Grade 4 (Behavior)

One measurable annual goal related to meeting Anthony's needs is:

• Anthony will remain in his seat in a designated area of the classroom for 30 minutes at a time on nine out of ten trials by the end of the fourth grading period.

Applying Step 5 for Anthony (Assess and report the student's progress throughout the year.)

Anthony: Grade 4 (Reading)

All types of assessment and report formats were considered for Anthony in the area of reading. The following were chosen based on Step 5 questions:

- Curriculum-based assessment
- Teacher/text test
- State assessment(s): Anthony will participate in the regular state assessment program with accommodations for the fourth grade. (For accommodations, see Step 6.)

Anthony: Grade 4 (Behavior)

All types of assessment and report formats were considered for Anthony in the area of behavior. The following were chosen:

- Data collection
- Teacher observation

Applying Step 6 for Anthony (Identify specially designed instruction.)

Anthony: Grade 4 (Reading)

Special education and related services, including supplementary aides and services, program modifications, classroom and assessment accommodations, assistive technologies, personnel development, and others, were considered based on the questions for Step 6. The following were decided on for Anthony in the area of reading:

- Special education services: The special education teacher will provide intensive reading instruction to improve reading fluency and comprehension using a research-based reading program.
- Supplementary aids and services: Anthony will be allowed brief, scheduled breaks every 20 minutes or as needed in response to an agreed-upon signal during classroom assignments. His seating will be in an area that is relatively free from distractions.
- Accommodations needed for assessments: Anthony will be allowed brief, scheduled breaks every 20 minutes during classroom and state assessments. His seating will be in an area relatively free from distractions.

Anthony: Grade 4 (Behavior)

Special education and related services were considered. The following were decided on for Anthony in the area of behavior:

- Special education services: Anthony's special education teacher will conduct periodic observations to collect data on in-seat and on-task behaviors. The teacher will meet with Anthony and the general education teacher to discuss the data and its implications.
- Support for personnel: Anthony's general education teachers will be trained in positive behavior supports.

Applying Step 7 for Anthony (Determine the most appropriate assessment option.)

The IEP team decision regarding the most appropriate assessment option for each student is based on a number of factors, including the type of instruction the student has received and his/her response to the instruction, the student's instructional level compared to the instructional level of typical peers, and the time it takes for the student to make progress toward grade-level content standards.

IEP teams must determine which assessments provide the best opportunities for students to demonstrate what they know and are able to do.

Anthony: Grade 4

Anthony's IEP team considered the following data in deciding that Anthony could participate in the **regular state assessment program with accommodations:**

- Instructional levels are approximately one year behind grade level and gaps are being closed by focused interventions.
- Anthony participates in grade-level instructional activities planned for all students.
- He makes progress on grade-level standards within approximately the same time frame as typical peers when behavioral interventions are successful.
- He uses learning and behavioral strategies to improve achievement.

Applying Steps 1 and 2 for Kimi (Consider the grade-level content standards and examine classroom and student data.)

Steps 1 and 2 constitute the initial planning for the IEP. After completing the first two steps, the IEP team will have information that can be synthesized into a description of the student. Some states include student profiles as part of the IEP document, but such descriptions are not a requirement under IDEA. It is necessary, however, for the IEP team to develop a picture of grade-level expectations and know where each student is functioning in relation to those expectations.

Kimi: Grade 8

The IEP team, including Kimi's father, spent time reviewing their state's content standards. They were interested in learning specifically what Kimi was expected to know in each subject area (Step 1). The team recognized that it was important to compare what Kimi was expected to know in each content area, with her present level of performance. So the team reviewed the methods by which Kimi had been taught, and examined whether this content was aligned with state standards. It also was important for the team to examine the methods by which data were collected about Kimi's performance to determine whether these measures were good indicators of Kimi's progress (Step 2).

Student Profile: Kimi

Kimi is an eighth grade student who was retained in the first grade due, in part, to the difficulties she was experiencing maintaining and using information she had been taught. Kimi was found to have significant auditory processing problems that negatively affected all academic areas,

particularly early literacy. A review of Kimi's previous IEPs revealed that a variety of strategies and programs have been tried over the years. Kimi began receiving speech and language services in grade three. She began working with an auditory trainer in the fifth grade. Currently, the speech and language pathologist provides strategies to Kimi's general education teachers. She is currently receiving a research-based reading intervention curriculum from a highly-qualified teacher and also is included in language arts class with her eighth-grade peers.

The auditory processing difficulties associated with Kimi's disability have widened the gap over the years between her academic levels and the levels of typical same-age peers. According to classroom and state assessment data, her reading comprehension skills are similar to typical students in the fourth grade. Her vocabulary and word recognition skills, however, are closer to a sixth-grade level.

Kimi's parents report that she gets frustrated when she has to listen attentively for a long period of time. Her mother states that Kimi continues to become anxious when home work assignments require a lot of reading and she is not sure that she remembers all of the teacher's directions. Her parents are concerned that Kimi often forgets things they have told her to do and would like some strategies to work on at home.

Mathematics data from classroom progress monitoring indicate relative strengths in the areas of numbers and operations. Kimi has difficulty, however, generalizing the skills she learns in math class to situations in daily life. For example, she may make a perfect score on a page of math problems but be unable to decide how much each person owes when a group orders lunch and is splitting the check. Kimi's difficulty generalizing skills is also apparent in applying math concepts to science investigations and in other classes when required to analyze data.

Kimi's disability affects the amount of auditory material that she can process within a designated timeframe. She can master state content standards given additional time for instruction, but she is not achieving grade-level proficiency in the timeframe designated for typical peers. Because language arts, science, and social studies classes are often in lecture format and require students to read lengthy narratives, her progress in these areas is slowed.

Kimi's need for additional time is accommodated on classroom assignments and on classroom and state assessments. Kimi has learned strategies to help her retain auditory information and practices the strategies during classroom lectures and when teachers are giving assignments.

Applying Step 3 for Kimi (Develop the present level of academic achievement and functional performance.)

Kimi: Grade 8 (Reading)

Kimi enjoys reading and often selects books from the classroom library. Kimi can respond to simple fact-based comprehension questions, but needs more work on applying a variety of reading comprehension strategies. Kimi's scores on state assessments place her in the "Does Not Meet Standards" category on reading comprehension. On classroom assessments, Kimi answers simple "Who, What, When, and Where" questions with 80% accuracy but experiences difficulty

with making inferences to determine bias or theme and making predictions for comprehension of eighth-grade reading materials.

Kimi: Grade 8 (Math)

Kimi's state and classroom assessment data indicate computational fluency with addition, subtraction, and multiplication of integers. She can solve one- and two-step algebraic expressions on worksheets and classroom assessments. Generalizing what she has learned in mathematics, however, to real-world situations is an area of need for Kimi. She can do the computations if the problems are written as numerals and presented as math problems. It is difficult for Kimi to apply what she knows about mathematics to situations that occur in daily life. Kimi needs to learn a process for applying what she knows about the operations of math to problem situations in other disciplines and in daily life.

Applying Step 4 for Kimi (Develop measurable annual goals.)

Kimi: Grade 8 (Reading)

One measurable annual goal related to meeting Kimi's needs and a corresponding content standard are:

At the end of the thirty-six weeks, Kimi will use prior knowledge and personal
experience to make inferences to determine bias or theme to comprehend eighth-grade
materials with an average of 80% accuracy on classroom assessments
(8th Grade Reading Content Standard: Students will connect their own background
knowledge and personal `experience to make inferences presented in text.)

Kimi: Grade 8 (Math)

One measurable annual goal related to meeting Kimi's needs and a corresponding content standard are:

• At the end of the fourth grading period, Kimi will apply mathematics in problem situations outside of the discipline of mathematics with 100% accuracy on eight out of ten problem scenarios.

(8th Grade Math Content Standard: Students will recognize and apply mathematics concepts outside of "mathematics" classrooms.)

Applying Step 5 for Kimi (Assess and report the student's progress throughout the year.)

Kimi: Grade 8 (Reading)

All types of assessment and report formats were considered for Kimi in the area of reading. The following were chosen:

- Curriculum-based assessment
- Teacher/text test
- State assessment(s): Kimi will participate in the alternate assessment based on modified academic achievement standards.

Kimi: Grade 8 (Math)

All types of assessment and report formats were considered for Kimi in the area of math. The following were chosen:

- Curriculum-based assessment
- Data collection
- Teacher observation
- State assessment(s): Kimi will participate in the alternate assessment based on modified academic achievement standards.

Applying Step 6 for Kimi (Identify specially designed instruction.)

Kimi: Grade 8 (Reading)

Special education and related services were considered based on the questions for Step 6. The following were decided on for Kimi in the area of reading:

- Special education services: The special education teacher will provide intensive reading instruction using a research-based reading program.
- Supplementary aids and services: Kimi will be allowed additional time for classroom assignments.
- Related services: The speech and language pathologist will consult with the general education teacher and provide strategies for classroom use.
- Accommodations needed for assessment: Kimi will be allowed additional time for classroom and state assessments.

Kimi: Grade 8 (Math)

Special education and related services were considered. The following were decided on for Kimi in the area of math.

- Special education services: The special and general education teachers will collaborate on math strategies and assignments.
- Supplementary aids and services: Kimi will be allowed additional time for classroom assignments.
- Accommodations needed: Kimi will be allowed additional time for classroom and state assessments.

Applying Step 7 for Kimi (Determine the most appropriate assessment option.)

Kimi: Grade 8

Kimi's IEP team made an assessment decision based on a review of the data. Kimi will participate in the **alternate assessment based on modified academic achievement standards.** The IEP team selected the assessment option based on the following information:

- Kimi's assigned grade level and instructional levels are several years apart. Kimi is in the eighth grade and is working on reading comprehension at the fourth grade level.
- Her history of persistent academic concerns resulting in large gaps between her knowledge and that of typical peers.
- The differences between Kimi's skills and those of typical peers increased each year.
- Her progress toward grade-level content standards is slower than that of typical peers.
- She is unable to achieve grade-level standards in the same time frame as typical peers.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Although both Anthony and Kimi received instructional support, interventions, and classroom and assessment accommodations, the data revealed that their assessment needs differ. Anthony's academic progress was close enough to his assigned grade level that he could demonstrate his knowledge and skills on the general education assessment. Kimi, on the other hand, requires modified academic achievement standards because her instructional levels are as many as four years behind her typical peers. Because she does not learn as quickly as other students, Kimi falls further behind with each year of schooling. The IEP team determined that Kimi needs an alternate assessment based on modified academic achievement standards to provide useful information regarding her academic progress.

Standards establish clear expectations about what students should know and be able to do at each grade level. Educators can use innovative instructional methods to engage students in academic content, while ensuring that students are taught appropriate grade-level academic content. IEP team decisions can be more focused now that states have identified the bottom line of what students must know and be able to do. With greater clarity comes opportunities for special education teachers, general education teachers, parents and other stakeholders to share common understandings that can result in improved access to learning and, ultimately, to improved student achievement.

This tool has shown how a seven-step process for developing standards-based IEPs can (a) consider each student's strengths and needs to develop annual goals focused on closing the gaps between the students' levels of academic achievement and grade-level standards; and (b) use data and guiding questions to make data-based decisions, including selecting the most appropriate assessment option for each student. The implementation of IEP documents developed through this process can assist IEP teams to provide access to the general curriculum and enable students to demonstrate academic achievement linked to grade-level content.

APPENDIX INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM

STUD	ENT'S NAME:	Anthony					
DOB	6/22/1996	SCHOO	L YEAR	2006	- 2007	GRADE	4
IEP IN	NITIATION/DU	RATION DATES	FROM	08/10/06		TO <u>05/23/07</u>	7
THIS	SIEP WILL BE IMPL	EMENTED DURING THE R				EXTENDED SCHOO	OL YEAR SERVICES.
behaviors incident i	s that interfere with learn reports over the last two	t who is included in general edning. He is easily distracted by years indicates that Anthony is lort break. Anthony can remain	other students, s learning to ign	noise, and other ac ore some distraction	ons and supports. An tivity within and nea ons in his environmen	r the classroom. A rev nt. His time at his desk	iew of classroom data and has increased to twenty
		essments indicate that Anthony prove reading with fluency and				ing reading instruction	in an intervention
the math	but gets easily frustrated	ade math standards. Progress m d if he makes a mistake and ma s pleased when he does well on	y crumple or te	ar up his paper. He	often rushes through	n assignments and turn	s his paper in early without
Anthony during pr		hat he likes school and looks fo	orward to going.	. He is playing foo	ball with the YMCA	and she is glad that he	e burns off some energy
accommo	odated by arranging for since implementing an	ns for brief scheduled breaks ev seating in an area of the classro agreed-upon signal with his ter approval before leaving his sea	om and the asse acher. The agree	essment area that is	relatively free from	distractions. Anthony	s on-task behavior has

Page ____ of ____ -12 -

STUDENT'S NAME:	Anthony

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONAL FACTORS

Items checked "YES" will be addressed in this IEP:	YES	NO
 Does the student have behavior which impedes his/her learning or the learning of others? Does the student have limited English proficiency? Does the student need instruction in Braille and the use of Braille? Does the student have communication needs (deaf or hearing impaired only)? Does the student need assistive technology devices and/or services? Does the student require specially designed P.E.? Is the student working toward alternate achievement standards and participating in the Alternate Assessment? 		
• Are transition services addressed in this IEP?		
TRANSPORTATION AS A RELATED SERVICE		
Does the student require transportation as a related service? Does the student need accommodations or modifications for transportation? YES If yes, check any transportation accommodations/modifications that are needed. Bus driver is aware of student's behavioral and/or medical concerns Wheelchair lift Restraint system. Specify:	⊠ NO ⊠ NO	
Other. Specify:		
NONACADEMIC and EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES	3	
Will the student have the opportunity to participate in nonacademic/extracurricular activities opers? YES. YES, with supports. Describe: NO. Explanation must be provided:	with his/her no	ondisabled
METHOD/FREQUENCY FOR REPORTING PROGRESS OF ATTAINING GO	OALS TO PA	RENTS
Annual Goal Progress reports will be sent to parents each time report cards are issued (every		weeks).
Page of13 -		6/1/2006

STUDENT'S NAME: Anthony				
AREA: Reading				
PRESENT LEVEL OF ACADEMIC ACHI A review of brief classroom assessments indicates that Anthony 100 % accuracy which is within the range of words per minute passages at the second grade level for which he has achieved a words in context and applying word structures (prefix, base wo Anthony's disability such as limited attention span and distracti passages/assignments and remaining on task. MEASURABLE ANNUAL GOAL related to Anthony will read 105 words of connected text per minute with end of the fourth-grading period.	y needs to improve rea established for typical high level of accuracy ords, and suffix) are rela ibility can detract from to meeting the s in 100% accuracy on cla	ding for fluency. peers in the seco in oral reading fl ative strengths fo completing assist tudent's necessory	Anthony can read 80 words per and grade. Anthony is working to tuency. Determining the meaning r Anthony in the area of reading. gned tasks, focusing on the detail eds: ents at the	minute of connected text with ward automaticity by reading g of unknown words by reading Behaviors associated with
	AL GOAL: Feacher/Text Tes State Assessment	t To	eacher Observation Vork Samples] Grades
DATE OF MASTERY:	_			
BENCHMARKS: 1. Date of Mastery: 2. Date of Mastery: 3. Date of Mastery: Program Modifications, Accommodations Needed for Assessments, Related Services, Assistive Technology, and Support for Personnel.)				
Type of Service(s)	Anticipated Frequency of Service(s)	Amount of time	Beginning/ Ending Date	Location of Service(s)
Special Education Special Education teacher will provide intensive reading instruction using a research based reading program	Daily	30 mins.	08/10/06 to 05/23/07	Special Education Classroom
Supplementary Aids and Services Brief scheduled breaks every 20 mins. or as needed by agreed-upon signal during classroom assignments; seating in an area free from distractions	Daily	55 mins.	08/10/06 to 05/23/07	Special and General Education Classrooms
Program Modifications			to	
Accommodations Needed for Assessments Brief scheduled breaks every 20 mins. during classroom and state assessments; seating in an area free from distractions	Daily	55 mins.	08/10/06 to 05/23/07	Special and General Education Classrooms
Related Services			to	
Assistive Technology			to	
Support for Personnel			to	

Page ____ of ____ _ -14 -

STUDENT'S NAME: Anthony				
AREA: Reading (In the Content Areas)				
PRESENT LEVEL OF ACADEMIC ACI Anthony currently answers multiple-choice and short answer weekly classroom assessments. Anthony's teachers report that he often answers questions qu multiple-choice questions. He needs to work on identifying the Anthony's special education teacher and general education te missing details during reading passages/chapters. Both teacher request the graphic organizers when given a reading compreh MEASURABLE ANNUAL GOAL related	questions based on the stackly without thinking the important details in paracher have begun completes report that Anthony hension assignment.	arough his answer assages, interpret leting key parts o has made progress	I studies passages with an average r and is unable to explain why he ing the details, and synthesizing f graphic organizers for Anthony s since beginning this strategy an	e e of 70% accuracy on timed e selected his answers on the details to draw conclusions.
Using graphic organizers, Anthony will apply reading compranswers questions with 85% accuracy by the end of the fourth	-	urth grade social	studies and science passages to a	answer multiple choice and short-
(4th Grade Reading Content Standard: Students will use a va	riety of strategies to rea	d and comprehen	d printed material.)	
TYPE(S) OF EVALUATION FOR ANNU	JAL GOAL:			
 ☐ Curriculum Based Assessment ☐ Data Collection ☐ Other: ☐ Data Collection ☐ State Assessment(s) ☐ Work Samples 				
DATE OF MASTERY:				
BENCHMARKS: 1. Date of Mastery: 2. Date of Mastery: 3. Date of Mastery: D				
Type of Service(s)	Anticipated Frequency of Service(s)	Amount of time	Beginning/ Ending Date	Location of Service(s)
Special Education Special Education teacher will provide intensive reading instruction using a research based reading program	Daily	30 mins.	08/10/06 to 05/23/07	Special Education Classroom
Supplementary Aids and Services Teachers will provide partially completed graphic organizers for Anthony to complete	Daily	55 mins.	08/10/06 to 05/23/07	General Education Classroom
Program Modifications			to	
Accommodations Needed for Assessments Brief scheduled breaks every 20 mins. during classroom and state assessments; seating in an area free from distractions	Daily	55 mins.	08/10/06 to 05/23/07	Special and General Education Classrooms
Related Services				

Page ____ of ____ _ -15 -

__ to ____

__ to ___

Assistive Technology

Support for Personnel

	CHETZED ED		on I ROOMIN		
STUDENT'S NAME: Anthony					
AREA: Math					
PRESENT LEVEL OF ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AND FUNCTIONAL PERFORMANCE: Anthony's state, district, and classroom assessment data show that his skills in the area of number and operations are on grade level. He has difficulty, however, reading and interpreting data displays. His state assessment data indicated a score of eight out of a possible twenty points on the data analysis and statistics subtest. Anthony can read and interpret simple line plots and tally charts but cannot generalize and draw conclusions from charts, bar graphs, and other types of graphs. Since out-of-seat behavior can negatively impact Anthony's involvement and progress in the general education curriculum, Anthony's math teacher suggested that he collect data on the minutes that he remains in his seat and use that data to create a graph. MEASURABLE ANNUAL GOAL related to meeting the student's needs: Anthony will keep data on the number of minutes he is able to stay in seat, create a data display, interpret the data, and draw conclusions based on the data with 95% accuracy by the end of the fourth grading period. (4th Grade Math Content Standard: Students will represent numerical data using tables and graphs and clearly communicate their findings.)					
TYPE(S) OF EVALUATION FOR A	ANNUAL GOAL:				
☐ Curriculum Based Assessment ☐ Teacher/Text Test ☐ Teacher Observation ☐ Grades ☐ Data Collection ☐ State Assessment(s) ☐ Work Samples ☐ Other: ☐ Other:					
DATE OF MASTERY:					
Date of Mastery: Date of Mas					
SPECIAL EDUCATION AND RE Program Modifications, Accommodati for Personnel.)	LATED SERVICE(Sons Needed for Assess	S): (Special Imments, Related	Education, Supplement ed Services, Assistive T	ary Aids and Services, Fechnology, and Support	
Type of Service(s)	Anticipated Frequency of Service(s)	Amount of time	Beginning/ Ending Date	Location of Service(s)	
Special Education Special and General Education teachers will collabor discuss data collected and Anthony's progress in stay seat	Weekly	15 mins.	08/10/06 to 05/23/07	General Education Classroom	
Supplementary Aids and Services Brief scheduled breaks every 20 mins. or as needed b agreed-upon signal during classroom assignments; se an area free from distractions	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	55 mins.	08/10/06 to 05/23/07	Special and General Education Classrooms	
Program Modifications			to		

Page ____ of ____ _ -16 - 6/1/2006

55 mins.

08/10/06 to 05/23/07

____to___

____ to ____

Daily

Special and General

Education Classrooms

Accommodations Needed for Assessments

Brief scheduled breaks every 20 mins. during classroom and state assessments; seating in an area free from distractions

Related Services

Assistive Technology

Support for Personnel

STUDENT	C'S NAME: Anthony				
AREA:	Behavior				
Anthony has a lidistractions in treinforced for ig and his teacher assignments and MEASUR.	LEVEL OF ACADEMIC ACHI history of getting out of his desk/chair during cla he environment, such as another student sharper gnoring distractions. He can remain in his seat f have agreed on a signal that he gives if he requi d assessments. ABLE ANNUAL GOAL related emain in his seat in a designated area of the class	ass. A review of currer ning a pencil. Anthony or approximately 20 m res a break prior to the to meeting the s	is seated in an animutes if allowed e scheduled intervettudent's ne	hat Anthony's out-of-seat behaving a of the class that is relatively for scheduled breaks after an intervals. Anthony's out-of-seat behaveds:	ior is generally precipitated by free from distractions and is al of on-task behavior. Anthony vior negatively affects completed
TYPE(S)	OF EVALUATION FOR ANNUA	AL GOAL:			
_	Collection S	Feacher/Text Tes	=	eacher Observation Vork Samples	Grades
DATE OF	MASTERY:				
	EDUCATION AND RELATE	eded for Assessi		Date Date Date Education, Supplement	
Type of Se	rvice(s)	Anticipated Frequency of Service(s)	Amount of time	Beginning/ Ending Date	Location of Service(s)
data on in-seat	ucation will conduct periodic observations to collect and on-task behaviors; and meet with e general education teacher to discuss data	Weekly	15 mins.	08/10/06 to 05/23/07	General Education Classroom
Supplemen	ntary Aids and Services			to	
Program N	Modifications			to	
Accommod	dations Needed for Assessments			to	
Related Se	rvices			to	
Assistive T	`echnology			to	
	or Personnel ion teachers will be trained in Positive orts.	Once	6 hours	08/09/06 to 08/09/06	General Education Classroom

Page ____ of ____ _ -17 -

STUDENT'S NAME: Anthony					
	GENERA	L FACTORS			
HAS THE IEP TEAM CONSIDERED		LIACIONS	YES NO		
• The strengths of the child?	•		\square		
• The concerns of the parents for enhancing the education of the child?					
• The results of the initial or most recent evaluations of the child?					
• As appropriate, the results of per	formance on any S	tate or districtwide assessments?			
The academic, developmental, as	_				
• The need for extended school ye	ar services?				
LE	CAST RESTRICT	IVE ENVIRONMENT			
Does this student attend the school (or for nondisabled? Yes If no, justify:	or a preschool-age No	student, participate in the environr	nent) he/she would attend		
Does this student receive all special educ If no, justify (justification may not be sol Anthony requires modifications in the ge	ely because of nee	ded modifications in the general cu	□ No urriculum):		
⊠ 6-21 YEA	ARS OF AGE	☐ 3-5 YEARS OF AGE			
(Select one from the drop-down box.) 02 99% to 80% of Day Inside the Gen Ed En	vironment	_			
Secondary LRE (only if LRE above is Priva	te School-Parent Pla	aced)			
COPY OF IEP		COPY OF SPECIAL ED	OUCATION RIGHTS		
Was a copy of the IEP given to parent at XES NO If no, date sent to parent:	the IEP meeting?	Was a copy of the <i>Special Educa</i> at the IEP meeting?	ation Rights given to parent Yes \text{No}		
Date copy of amended IEP provided	/sent to parent _				
THE FOLLOWING PEOPLE ATTE	ENDED AND PART	FICIPATED IN THE MEETING TO	DEVELOP THIS IEP.		
Position	Signature		Date		
Parent	*				
Parent	*				
LEA Representative	*				
Special Education Teacher	*				
General Education Teacher	*				
Student					
Career/Technical Education Rep					
Other Agency Representative					
		COPLE NOT IN ATTENDANCE			
Position	Name		Date		
Page of	•	-18 -	6/1/2006		

STUD	ENT'S NAME: _ H	Kimi						
DOB	8/14/1993	SCHOO	OL YEAR	2006	- 2007	GRADE	8	
IEP IN	NITIATION/DURA	ATION DATES	FROM	08/10/06		TO 05/23/0	7	
THIS	IEP WILL BE IMPLEM	ENTED DURING THE I				EXTENDED SCHO	OL YEAR S	SERVICES.
taught. K IEPs reve working	n eighth grade student who imi was found to have sign ealed a variety of strategies with an auditory trainer in t receiving a research-based	ificant auditory processing and programs that have been the fifth grade. Currently, the	ade due, in part, problems that no en tried over the ne speech and lan	egatively affected years. Kimi bega nguage pathologi	s she was experiencing d all academic areas, pa an receiving speech an st provides strategies t	articularly early litera d language services ir o Kimi's general educ	cy. A review grade three cation teache	of Kimi's . She began ers. She is
age peers	tory processing difficulties a. According to classroom a ognition skills, however, are	nd state assessment data, he	er reading comp					
home wo	arents report that she gets fr rk assignments require a lovey have told her to do and v	t of reading and she is not s	ure that she rem	embers all of the				
skills she	atics data from classroom pr learns in math class to situ roup orders lunch and is spl	ations in daily life. She may						
time for i	isability affects the amount instruction, but she is not ac re often in lecture format an	chieving grade-level profici	ency in the time	frame designated	for typical peers. Bec	ause language arts, sc		
	eed for additional time is ac information and practices th					Kimi has learned strate	egies to help	her retain

Page ____ of ____ _ -19 -

STUDENT'S NAME: Kimi					
SPECIAL INSTRUCTION.	AL FACTORS				
Items checked "YES" will be addressed in this IEP:		YES	NO		
 Does the student have behavior which impedes his/her learning of Does the student have limited English proficiency? Does the student need instruction in Braille and the use of Braille Does the student have communication needs (deaf or hearing im Does the student need assistive technology devices and/or service Does the student require specially designed P.E.? Is the student working toward alternate achievement standards a Alternate Assessment? Are transition services addressed in this IEP? 	le? npaired only)? ces?				
TRANSPORTATION AS A REI	LATED SERVICE				
Does the student require transportation as a related service? Does the student need accommodations or modifications for transportation accommodations/modifications to Bus driver is aware of student's behavioral and/or medical Wheelchair lift Restraint system. Specify:	that are needed.	NO NO			
Other. Specify:					
NONACADEMIC and EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES Will the student have the opportunity to participate in nonacademic/extracurricular activities with his/her nondisabled peers? YES.					
YES, with supports. Describe: NO. Explanation must be provided:					
METHOD/FREQUENCY FOR REPORTING PROGRE Annual Goal Progress reports will be sent to parents each time repo		LS TO PAREN 9.0 wee			

Page ____ of ____ _ -20 -

STUDENT'S NAME: Kimi							
AREA:	Reading						
Kimi enjoys rea applying a varie comprehension. inferences to det MEASURA At the end of thi reading material 8th Grade Rea	ding and often sel ty of reading com On classroom ass termine bias or the ABLE ANNU irty-six weeks, Kii s with an average ding Content Stan	ects books from the classroom prehension strategies. Kimi's sessments, Kimi answers simp eme and making predictions for JAL GOAL related to mi will use prior knowledge a of 80% accuracy on classroom	n library. Kimi can respectively scores on state assessments. It is a second to meeting the second personal experience massessments.	pond to simple far ments place her in , and Where" que ighth-grade readi tudent's ne e to make inferen	ng materials.	ons but needs more work on category on reading experiences difficulty with making to comprehend eighth-grade	
Currice Data C Other:	 ✓ Curriculum Based Assessment ✓ Teacher/Text Test ✓ Teacher Observation ✓ Grades ✓ State Assessment(s) ✓ Work Samples 						
DATE OF	MASTERY:	:	_				
BENCHMA	ARKS:						
1.						of Mastery:	
2.						of Mastery:	
3.					<u>Date</u>	of Mastery:	
4.						of Mastery:	
	odifications,					ary Aids and Services, Technology, and Support	
	/		Anticipated				
Type of Sei	rvice(s)		Frequency of Service(s)	Amount of time	Beginning/ Ending Date	Location of Service(s)	
Special Edu	ucation		, ,		<u> </u>		
Special Education	on teacher will pro	ovide intensive reading	Daily	40 mins.	<u>08/10/06</u> to <u>05/23/07</u>	Special Education Classroom	
instruction using	g a research based	reading program				Classiconi	
Supplemen	tary Aids ar	nd Services					
Additional time	for classroom ass	ignments	Daily	55 mins.	<u>08/10/06</u> to <u>05/23/07</u>	Special and General Education Classrooms	
Program N	Iodifications	<u> </u>					
		,			to		
Additional time	for classroom and	ed for Assessments i state assessment	Weekly in classrooms	55 mins.	08/10/06 to 05/23/07	Special and General Education Classrooms	
education teache	guage Pathologist er to provide strate	consults with general egies	Biweekly in classrooms	30 mins	<u>8/10/06</u> to <u>5/23/07</u>	General Education Classrooms	
Assistive T	echnology				to		

Page ____ of ____ __ 6/1/2006

to

Support for Personnel

STUDENT'S NAME: Kimi				
AREA: Math				
PRESENT LEVEL OF ACADEMIC ACIDING imi's state and classroom assessment data indicate computal gebraic expressions on worksheets and classroom assessment in Kimi. She can do the computations if the problems are what the matter at the problems are what the matter is constitutions that occur in daily life. Kimi needs isciplines and in daily life. MEASURABLE ANNUAL GOAL related	ntional fluency with addi- ents. Generalizing what s ritten as numerals and pro- to learn a process for ap	tion, subtraction as the has learned in esented as math pplying what she leatudent's new	and multiplication of integers. Somethematics, however, to real-various roblems. It is difficult for Kimi knows about the operations of meds:	he can solve one- and two-step world situations is an area of need to apply what she knows about ath to problem situations in other
At the end of the fourth grading period, Kimi will apply mathen problem scenarios.	nematics in problem situa	ations outside of t	the discipline of mathematics wi	th 100% accuracy on eight out of
8th Grade Math Content Standard: Students will recognize	and apply mathematics c	oncepts outside o	of mathematics" classrooms.)	
ΓΥΡΕ(S) OF EVALUATION FOR ANNU	J AL GOAL:			
Curriculum Based Assessment Data Collection Other: Kimi will participate in the alternate ass Other:	Teacher/Text Tes State Assessment sessment based on modif	x(s) W	eacher Observation ork Samples ievement standards.	Grades
DATE OF MASTERY:				
BENCHMARKS:			Doto	of Mostowy
) <u>.</u>				of Mastery:
 3.				of Mastery:
l.			Date	of Mastery:
SPECIAL EDUCATION AND RELATION OF Personnel.)	ED SERVICE(S) leeded for Assessi): (Special liments, Relate	Education, Supplement ed Services, Assistive 7	ary Aids and Services, Technology, and Support
Type of Service(s)	Anticipated Frequency of Service(s)	Amount of time	Beginning/ Ending Date	Location of Service(s)
Special Education				Committee the continue
pecial and General Education teachers will collaborate on eading strategies and assignments	Weekly	15 mins.	<u>08/10/06</u> to <u>05/23/07</u>	General Education Classroom
Supplementary Aids and Services				Consist and Consust
Additional time for classroom assignments	Daily	55 mins.	<u>08/10/06</u> to <u>05/23/07</u>	Special and General Education Classrooms
Program Modifications			to	
Accommodations Needed for Assessments additional time for classroom and state assessments	Weekly for classrooms	55 mins.	08/10/06 to 05/23/07	Special and General Education Classrooms
Related Services Speech and Language Pathologist consults with general ducation teacher to provide strategies	Biweekly in classrooms	30 mins.	<u>8/10/06</u> to <u>5/23/07</u>	General Education Classrooms
Assistive Technology			to	
Support for Personnel	1		40	

Page ____ of ____ _ -22 -

STUDENT'S NAME: Kimi				
 The academic, developmental, a The need for extended school ye LI Does this student attend the school (or feed) 	enhancing the educate recent evaluations of formance on any Stand functional needs ar services?	of the child? tate or districtwide assessments? of the child? IVE ENVIRONMENT	YES NO	
Does this student receive all special educ If no, justify (justification may not be so Kimi requires modifications in the gener	lely because of need		□ No rriculum):	
(Select one from the drop-down box.) 02 99% to 80% of Day Inside the Gen Ed En	ARS OF AGE	☐ 3-5 YEARS OF AGE		
Secondary LRE (only if LRE above is Priva	ate School-Parent Place	ced)		
COPY OF IEP COPY OF SPECIAL EDUCA			UCATION RIGHTS	
Was a copy of the IEP given to parent at the IEP meeting? XES NO If no, date sent to parent: Date copy of amended IEP provided/sent to parent		Was a copy of the <i>Special Educat</i> at the IEP meeting?	tion Rights given to parent Yes No	
THE FOLLOWING PEOPLE ATTI	-	TOPATED IN THE MEETING TO	DEVELOP THIS IEP	
Position	Signature	TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	Date	
Parent	*		Date	
Parent	*			
LEA Representative	*			
Special Education Teacher	*			
General Education Teacher	*			
Student Student				
Career/Technical Education Rep Other Agency Representative				
Other Agency Representative				
INFORMATION FROM PEOPLE NOT IN ATTENDANCE				
Position	Name		Date	
		22		
Page of		-23 -	6/1/2006	